

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/098,700	03/15/2002	David W. Cunningham	4000-007	6945	
24112 COATS & RFI	7590 02/23/2007 NNETT, PLLC	EXAMINER			
1400 Crescent Green, Suite 300			GLASS, RUSSELL S		
Cary, NC 27518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3626		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	SHTM	02/23/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/098,70	0	CUNNINGHAM ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit	,			
		Russell S.		3626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER; FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory put to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even in. eriod will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this (ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 November 20	006					
,		This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	,	,					
·								
	Claim(s) <u>15-19,54,55 and 64-72</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	 ☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>15-19,54,55 and 64-72</u> is/are rejected. 							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election re	equirement					
		indior cicolion i	4	•				
	ion Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Exam		<u>. </u>					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
-	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ments have bee	n received.		,			
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer	ut(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sler No(s)/Mail Date	R(08)	6) Other:	atent Application (P1	0-102)			
•								

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 15-19, 54, 55, 64-72 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of (U.S. 5,832,449) in view of Deaton et al., (U.S. 5,644,723).

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: issuing media, identifying media with an identifier stored in a central database, associating the media with a good or service, activating and/or deactivating the status of the media, distributing the media, and presenting the

media for redemption of goods or services. Although the '449 patent is directed specifically toward pharmaceutical goods and services, this subject matter falls within the broad scope of the claims presented in the present application that are directed toward unspecified goods and services. Therefore, the subject matter of the present application is considered to be obvious in view of the '449 patent.

Furthermore, Deaton further discloses the well-known method of issuing active and inactive media, activating the media and recording the change of the status in the database, and varying the value of the media, (Deaton, Fig. 6A, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, lines 60-66; col. 106, lines 25-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine U.S. 5,832,449 and Deaton. The motivation would have been to issue incentive coupons based upon said activation signal, (Deaton, abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 15-19, 54, 55, 64-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deaton et al., (U.S. 5,644,723).

Art Unit: 3626

4. As per claim 15, Deaton discloses a method of promoting goods and services, comprising:

issuing media in which each medium has at least one good or service associated therewith, (Deaton, col. 70, lines 8-27);

identifying each medium with an identifier and recording the identifer in a database such that the at least one good or service associated with each medium can be determined, (Deaton, col. 4, lines 44-47);

assigning an inactive status to the media such that while assuming the inactive status the goods or services associated with the medium may not be redeemed, (Deaton, Fig. 6A, col. 31, lines 60-66);

recording the inactive status in a database, (Deaton, Fig. 6A, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, lines 60-66);

activating at least some of the media by changing the status of the media from an inactive state to an active state and recording the change of the status in the database, (Deaton, Fig. 6A, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, lines 60-66);

varying the value of at least some of the media such that the value of the media varies according to selected conditions, (Deaton, col. 106, lines 25-54); and

distributing the media to holders wherein the holders present the media to providers that deliver the goods or services associated with the presented media to the holders, (Deaton, col. 7, lines 11-31).

Application/Control Number: 10/098,700 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

5. As per claim 16, Deaton discloses a method of claim 15 including varying the value of the media based on the manner of activation, the location of the provider, or the identity of the holder or provider, (Deaton, col. 4, lines 44-46; col. 106, lines 25-54).

- 6. As per claim 17, Deaton discloses a method of claim 15 wherein the database is consulted at various times to determine whether the media or a certain medium is active or inactive, (Deaton, Fig. 6A, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, lines 60-66).
- 7. As per claim 18, Deaton discloses a method of claim 15 wherein the database is updated from time to time with respect to the status of a medium and the value associated with the medium, (Deaton, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, line 60-col. 32, line 36).
- 8. As per claim 19, Deaton discloses a method of claim 18 including the provider communicatively linking to the database and determining whether a presented medium is active or inactive, and further communicating for recordation in the database any goods or services delivered to a holder as a result of the medium being presented to the provider, (Deaton, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, line 60-col. 32, line 36; col. 67, line 50-col. 70, line 39).
- 9. As per claim 54, Deaton discloses a method of promoting goods or services, comprising:

provisioning a database by:

Art Unit: 3626

(a) assigning a unique identifier to a medium wherein the medium forms a part of a media, (Deaton, col. 4, lines 44-47).

- (b) associating a good or service with each medium, (Deaton, col. 70, lines 8-27);
- (c) linking the good or service associated with each medium with the unique identifier of that medium, (Deaton, col. 7, lines 12-31, col. 70, lines 8-27).

distributing the media to individuals that enable the individuals to present the media to providers of goods or services who under certain circumstances will redeem the presented media by delivering to the individuals one or more goods or services associated with the media, (Deaton, col. 70, lines 8-27);

activating the media by changing the status of the media from an inactive state to an active state and recording that status change in a database, (Deaton, Fig. 6A, col. 5, lines 9-24; col. 31, lines 60-66);

the individuals receiving the media, presenting the media to providers of goods or services associated with the media, (Deaton, col. 7, lines 12-31),

determining the status of the media presented by communicating with the database, (Deaton, Fig. 6A; col. 31, lines 60-66);

delivering one or more goods or services associated with the media to individuals presenting an active medium, (Deaton, col. 31, lines 60-66; col. 70, lines 44-64), and

the providers communicating with the database to indicate that one or more goods or services associated with particular media has been delivered to individuals presenting certain media to the providers, and wherein the database is updated to

Page 7

reflect the transfer of one or more goods or services from the providers such that the database will generally reflect media that has been presented to providers and the goods or services delivered by the providers in response to the presentment of the media, (Deaton, col. 70, lines 44-64)

wherein the database is provisioned with certain criteria that establishes variable value for the media, (Deaton, col. 106, lines 25-54).

- 10. As per claim 55, Deaton discloses a method of claim 54 wherein the value of the media is a function of the manner in which the media is activated, the location of the provider, the identity of the provider, or the identity of the individuals presenting the media, (Deaton, col. 4, lines 44-46; col. 106, lines 25-54).
- 11. As per claim 64, Deaton discloses a method including deactivating the media upon the occurrence of one or more conditions, (Deaton, Fig. 6A; col. 31, lines 60-66)(disclosing an inactive status update).
- 12. As per claim 65, Deaton discloses a method including reactivating the media after the media has been deactivated, (Deaton, Fig. 6A; col. 31, lines 60-66, col. 32, lines 14-16)(disclosing updating host records with active/inactive status, wherein an inactive record could be updated with an active status resulting in reactivation).

Art Unit: 3626

13. As per claims 66-72, these claims contain the same or substantially similar limitations as claims 15-19, 54, 55, 64, 65, and therefore the rejection of those claims is incorporated herein by reference.

Page 8

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

1. As per applicant's argument that none of the claims of the '449 patent teach or suggest that the product trial cards have one value at one time, and then upon the occurrence of a selected condition, have another value which is different that he first value, it is submitted the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Instead, the current application claims only an issued medium to have a variable value according to selected conditions. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, varying the value of a medium is well-known in the art as evidenced by Deaton, (Deaton, col. 106, lines 25-54).

Additionally, the '449 patent discloses that each medium has information such as product name, product size, product form and product quantity, (Cunningham, claim 18). This information is equivalent to value because it determines how much the product trial card is worth. Even if the trial card is free, a card could be configured to

Art Unit: 3626

provide more or less free product than another similar card, thus essentially having a variable value based on authorization conditions stored at the central computing station, (Cunningham, col. 9, line 51-col. 10, line 50).

Page 9

- 2. As per applicant's argument that the motivation to combine the '449 patent and Deaton is improper, it is submitted that the Deaton reference essentially covers the same technical field of endeavor as the '449 patent, which is incentive coupons, (Deaton, abstract). The fact that the '449 patent is directed toward a card-based pharmaceutical product trial program method is irrelevant to the obviousness argument because the current application is directed toward a broadly-claimed media-based good and service promotion method. The claims of the '449 patent clearly fall within the scope of the current application, and thus render those limitations obvious in view of Deaton.
- 3. As per applicant's argument that Deaton fails to disclose identifying each medium with an identifier and recording the identifier in a database such that the at least one good or service associated with the medium can be determined, it is submitted that Deaton in fact discloses such a limitation, (Deaton, col. 3, lines 60-col. 4, line 5; col. 4, lines 44-47, col. 5, lines 9-24). In Deaton, the identifier is called a customer identifier, but the identifier is read from a medium and identifies the medium as belonging to a particular customer. Goods and services are associated with the medium because targeted marketing is implemented by Deaton. The fact that Deaton has other uses

Art Unit: 3626

such as determining a credit risk has no bearing on the fact that it anticipated the claims in the current application.

4. As per applicant's argument that Deaton fails to disclose assigning an inactive status to the media, it is submitted that Deaton discloses this feature. Applicant agrees that Deaton discloses assigning a status to a customer's record. If such status is caution, negative, or especially cash only, then the medium will be inactive and unusable for redemption purposes by the customer. In Denton, the fact that the change is made on the customer's record and not the medium itself is not material to the rejection because the medium must interface via a terminal with the central data system wherein all information is attributed to the medium, (Deaton, fig. 3). The invention described in the current application uses a similar system.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3626

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 11

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell S. Glass whose telephone number is 571-272-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RSG 2/14/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER